G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1917: 20
(P. P)

File No 14

Serial Nos. 272 & 286

Later references.
P. P 16-20 (143)
25-20 (38)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two only

Part B for March 1920.

SUBJECT.

Food Supply arrangements

Se 286) To, The D.C. Magistrates of Dists
" Dasildr Genl of Bihar
" Food Contr in Mysore
" Rly Chief Engr.
No G 2187-80 dt 23.3.20.
Requests them to furnish Govt with a quarterly return in the prescribed form of Cases Submitted & thereafter same list to prosecute under Rule 7 of the Defence of India Regn I of 1918

WD 1250—SPB—80,000—28-7-19

Note: Ills/19

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(P. P)

NOTES.

6-S

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Food supply arrangements

From The Food Controller

No. 4142 Dated 2. 3. 20 Received 5. 3. 20

Diary No. 15252	Enclos. -	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 272

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Suggests that a periodical return of cases submitted under the Defence of India Regn. for the Dy. Master's Sanction to prosecute, giving the nos. of cases in which Sanction was given or refused together with the reasons for not granting Sanction

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders :— Sanction of Govt. or of a Dy. Master is necessary for all prosecutions under the Defence of India Rules - vide Rule 7 of the Rules.

Please put up a draft of a return for the purpose so as to contain all necessary information.

6/3/20

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

15252 ✓ 2 ✓

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. P File No. 6 of 1919

Serial No.

A draft return is put up
herewith ... For order, a quarterly return
9/3/20 ... as prescribed temporarily
Chief Secy ... Yes - Please put up a draft
9/3/20

cbl
10/3/20
[illegible]
11/3

Submitted with a draft
herewith ...
13/3/20 ...
Chief Secy)

[illegible]
13/3

Dewan ...

cbl
17/3
[illegible]
7/3

approved
Cech
21.3.20

Issue
[illegible]
24/3

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 17 1920
Reg. No. 6411

286. The District Magistrates of Districts.
" Inspector General of Police
" Fd Controller.
" Registr Chief Court.
No. G. 21870-80 dt 23-3-20.

Requests them to furnish Govt with a quarterly return in the prescribed form of cases, submitted to them for sanction to prosecute under Rule 7 of the Defence of India Regulation 1915.

NOTE—Contd. sheet

SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH
16 APR 1920

IN REPLY REFER TO
NO. 4442
ENCL.

Tel : Address
"CIVISUP."

GEN. (B.R.) 75
5 MAR

28438

Office of the Food Controller and Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore.

Bangalore, Dated 2 March *192* 0.

15252
DIARY NO.

FROM

K. MATTHAN ESQ., B. A.,
Food Controller and Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore.

1919 1920
SECTION
FILE NO.
SERIAL NO

TO

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in the return relating to the export and import of food grains passing through the frontier ookads in the Hunsur Taluk for the week ending 27th December 1919, it was noticed that 12½ pallas of ragi and 4½ pallas of jola had been exported via Anechowkur ookad without license. The Amildar having been called upon to explain the circumstances has intimated that certain merchants of Periyapatna were transporting this quantity for sale at Gonekoppalu shandy when the ookad mutsaddi discovered the attempted export and handed over the case to the police. The Superintendent of Police who was asked to intimate the result of the action taken by the police has in his letter No. 2395 of the 18th rebruary stated that the Amildar of Hunsur reports that the District Magistrate of Mysore was not pleased to sanction the prosecution of the offenders. I have no information as to the circumstances of this particular case which might have weighed with the District Magistrate in declining to

to sanction prosecution. Doubtless there must have been sufficient reasons for the course adopted. I would, however submit for the consideration of Government that it may be desirable to call for periodical statements of cases submitted under the Defence of India Regulation for the District Magistrate's sanction to prosecute, giving the number of cases in which sanction was given or refused together with the reasons for not granting sanction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant to the Food Controller

For Food Controller & Director, Civil Supplies absent from Station

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 23/3

Signed by

Section OP
File No. 6-19
Serial No. 286

No. 521870-80

~~To~~

C/H: Memorandum

Dist Magistrates of D[illegible] are requested to furnish Govt with a quarterly return in the accompanying form of cases submitted to them for sanction to prosecute under Rule 7 of the Rules issued under the Defence of India Regn I of 1918 - The fresh return

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
31st March 1920 & the return
should reach the SP [illegible]
within 15 days after the
[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
13/3/20 [illegible]

The District Magistrate, [illegible]
The [illegible] Police
The Head Constable —
The Registrar, Chief Court [illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by.

Examined by.

Quarterly statement of cases submitted to District Magistrate
District for sanction for prosecution under
the Rules issued under the defence of India Regulation,
I of 1918

Serial No.	Officer applying for sanction	Date of receipt of application	Nature of charge	Date of sanction	If sanction is refused reasons therefor